AGRIVITA VOLUME 36 No. 1

FEBRUARY - 2014

# BIOCHAR EFFECT ON POTASSIUM FERTILIZER AND LEACHING POTASSIUM DOSAGE FOR TWO CORN PLANTING SEASONS

Widowati\*) and Asnah

Tribhuwana Tunggadewi University, Malang, Indonesia Jl. Telaga Warna Blok C Tlogomas Malang \*) Corresponding author Phone: +62-341-565500 E-mail: widwidowati@gmail.com

Received: February 12, 2014 /Accepted:May 30, 2014

#### ABSTRACT

An experiment in greenhouse was conducted to study the effect of biochar and fertilizer potassium against leaching and uptake of potassium on the growth of maize during the two cropping seasons. In the experiment, a randomized block design with seven treatments and three-time replication was applied, namely control (without biochar and KCI), biochar (30 t ha-1) with various doses of potassium fertilizer (0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 kg KCl ha-1) and potassium fertilizer treatment of 200 kg KCl ha1 without biochar. The first planting used 90 kg N ha-<sup>1</sup> basic fertilizer and 100 kg P2O5 ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the second planting fertilizer was added with 90 kg N ha-1. The results showed that biochar containing potassium was soluble and leached. The amount of potassium leached from the soil given the biochar 30 t ha-1 did not differ from that given 200 kg KCl ha-<sup>1</sup>. The amount of potassium leached was not different, ranging from 439-449 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in the first growing season (MT I) and 16-23 mg I<sup>-1</sup> in the second growing season (MT II) at various doses of KCI with biochar.

Keywords: biochar, leaching, potassium, uptake

## INTRODUCTION

Climate change has been the cause of irregularity in seasonal change. The amount of rainfall intensity causes problems in agriculture. Loss of nutrients through leaching is inevitable, especially in tropical areas with high rainfall. In this case, the application of mineral fertilizers should be reduced due to mineral fertilizers as a source of pollution. However, farmers often use more doses of mineral fertilizers so that crop production can be increased. It gives bad impact to soil, plants, and the environment. In fact, the use of mineral fertilizers can be reduced for environmental reason including

Accredited SK No.: 81/DIKTI/Kep/2011

carbon emission from the production and transport of fertilizers. It is identified that crop productivity can still be increased through increasing nutrient uptake. Therefore, it is necessary that the fertilizer added to the soil can be absorbed by plant roots as much as possible. Absorption of nutrients in the soil is associated with the availability of nutrients in the soil, the roots' ability to absorb nutrients, as well as the type of fertilizer used. Nutrient leaching is often an obstacle for the availability of nutrients in the soil.

Leaching is one of the impotant aspects for the nutrients that are mobile (Brady and Weil, 2008). This is because the nutrients in the soil solution were transferred by the percolation of water beyond the root zone so that the plants cannot utilize the nutrients. Factors that affect nutrient leaching are vegetation management and fertilization, soil structure and texture, rainfall patterns, soil chemistry, soil biology and nutrient cycle (Mayor *et al.*, 2010). Nutrient leaching will result in reduced efficiency and reduced use of nutrient fertilizer in the soil.

Biochar is the most effective substance in reducing potassium loss in areas with high rainfall. Lehmann et al. (2003b) demonstrated the ability of biochar to retain the fertilizer from leaching by increasing fertilizer use efficiency results. This ability is related to the surface area of biochar. Widowati et al. (2011) argues that potassium leached from the application of biochar (61.19 mg l <sup>1</sup>) is lower than using compost (81.98 mg l<sup>-1</sup>). The results of the study Widowati et al. (2012) in maize growing season's first 30 days shows that potassium is leached from biochar application of 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 39 ppm which is not different from the 200 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>, which is 33 ppm. However, leaching of potassium increased (275-340 ppm) when biochar combined with K fertilizer at various doses. Potassium leaching did not increase with increasing doses of K fertilizer at the age of 30-60 days.

Widowati and Asnah :Biochar Effect on Pottasium Fertlizer .....

Biochar has been tested to be effective in reducing nutrient leaching at least in the short term. Some studies indicated that potassium, ammonium and nitrate leaching, which mostly inhibit plant growth, decreased by more than 50 % after 250 mm of water were added to the surface. Ca and Mg were also detained after the addition of biochar without fertilization, 20 % and 40 % of the leaching reduction, respectively after 250 mm of water were added. In addition, biochar with NPK fertilizer significantly reduced the leaching of Ca and Mg during the first week alone (Lehmann et al., 2003). Dünisch et al. (2007) reported that potassium retention was also high with biochar. However, Lehmann et al. (2003) found that K in the leachate increased after the addition of biochar to soil, and attributed this to the high K content of biochar itself. The application of biochar to the soil caused a decrease in N leaching by 60 %, and increased crop productivity by 38-45 % (Lehmann et al., 2003). Lehmann (2007) stated that in addition to a significant response in crop productivity, it also improved soil quality and provided environmental benefits (e.g. reducing pollution due to reduced the loss of fertilizer through leaching).

Biochar produced from different feedstocks and under different conditions will show a variety of physical and chemical properties of the soil (Mermoud et al., 2006). Shinogi (2004) measures the rate of nutrient leaching in some biochar (K, Ca, P, S and Cl). Over time, this biochar may not be effective in supplying nutrients such as when it was first applied in the soil. Thus, it would seem that not only the quantity of ash is important but also the resistance to leaching. Long-term benefits of adding biochar to soil and water quality can be seen from the increase in nutrient retention and nutrient availability, reduction of nutrient leaching and other contaminants, the potential increase in the availability of water for crops, and increased mycorrhizal activity, which may be useful for other groups of microorganisms and their function in land (Warnock et al., 2007). Therefore it is necessary to study the effect of biochar application and potassium fertilizer to leaching potassium on the growth of corn plants in the first and second growing season.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Land and Biochar from Organic Waste

Silty clay soil was used in the study with Inceptisol depth of 0-20 cm. Biochar feedstock used in the study was the city organic waste (consisting of leaves and stems of the rest of the vegetables and fruits, corn, grass, foliage of trees, and ornamental plants) taken from the Solid Waste Management Unit, Department of Health Malang. Biochar is produced from raw materials that have moisture content of 17-19% at a temperature of 5000C in 2 hours 5 minutes (Widowati et al., 2011). According to Ding et al. (2010), many pores are formed during the pyrolysis of bamboo at a temperature of 600 ° C with a high adsorption capacity and specific surface area of 330 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Biochar was used after passing through a 10-20mesh sieve. Biochar characteristics were analyzed by the method as described by Widowati et al., (2011). The results of the research and analysis of the basic characteristics of biochar soil are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Basic Characteristics Analysis of Soil and Biochar

Characteristics	Soil	Biochar Organic Waste City
pH H₂O	6.37	9.60
Organic –C (%)	1.46	31.41
N Total (%)	0.19	1.67
C/N	7	18
P (%)		0.72
P Bray 1 (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	24.38	
K (%)		0.93
K (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.08	
CEC (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	14.02	23.87
Mg (%)		0.61
Mg (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.81	
Ca (%)		1.08
Ca (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	4.49	
Sandy (%)	21.00	
Silt (%)	55.33	
Clay (%)	23.67	

## Potassium Laundering Trial

The experiments were conducted in greenhouse of Tribhuwana Tunggadewi University, Malang, Indonesia (7°.48 ' .50 " and 112 ° .37 BS '41 " E) with a varying daily temperatures around  $16^{\circ}$ C -  $36^{\circ}$ C with a relative humidity of about 43-86 %, and light intensity of 365-1997 lux. Leaching experiments were conducted in a PVC cylinder with diameter of 14.40 cm and 50 cm in height. The cylinder was filled with 8 kg of soil (< 2 mm air-dried) with 39 % water content (height of the soil sample in a cylinder was 40 cm). Three-millimeter pores were made at the

bottom of the cylinder (4 pores cm<sup>-2</sup>). For water filtration, 24 marbles were placed at the bottom of the pipe, and then the cylinder was closed with glasswol. PVC was placed in the tub to hold water. Planting corn in the first growing season (MT I) was in April 2012 and the second growing season (MT II) was in April 2013. In this case, every single bisi corn variety is put in one PVC. Fertilization in MT I consisted of SP<sub>36</sub> with a dose of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> urea (90 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). Potassium Fertilization was given at 1 and 4 in accordance with the treatment in MST control (without biochar and KCI), B0K200 (without biochar +200 kg KCI ha-1), B30K0 (biochar, without KCI), B30K50 (biochar +50 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>), B30K100 (biochar +100 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>), B30K150 (biochar +150 kg KCl ha-1), and B30K200 (biochar +200 kg KCl ha-1). Fertilization used in MT II was only urea fertilizer with the dose and time of administration as in MT I. Fertilizer P and K were not added in this administration.

Treatment was arranged in a randomized block design and repeated three times. Plant maintenance was given at the age of 60 days to achieve maximum vegetative growth. Maximum vegetative phase was marked just before panicles emerged. Before the potassium leached, 1,000 ml of distilled water was added in 1 day so that the moisture was evenly maintained at field capacity (Ding et al., 2010 and Widowati et al., 2011). During the period of leaching, the amount of water was added on top of the soil surface in plastic gutter pipe with the wash water volume comprising 1,000 ml at 30 days after planting, 2,000 ml at 30-40 days after planting, 3,000 ml at 40-50 days after planting, and 4,000 ml when the plants were 50-60 days old. Water was added once in every 5 days from the top of the PVC to reflect local precipitation. The leaching water sample (lechate) was taken every time during the period of laundering, which was 1 day after the addition of leaching water. Water sample collected was washed from early growth period to 60 days after planting.

At the end of the vegetative growth of the plants, the destructive leaf area (leaf area meter), the dry weight of the plants (leaves and stems), and potassium levels in leaf samples were observed. Drying process was done in an oven at a temperature of  $70^{\circ}$ C for 2 x 24 hours until dry weight of plants was obtained. K nutrient uptake of corn was determined by the dry weight of biomass and nutrient content of the crop in the corn crop K (current maximum vegetative at 8 weeks after

planting). Analysis was done by using Flame Photometer potassium content models 2655-10. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 13.0 software program.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Corn Plant Growth**

Plant growth after leaching conditions in the first growing season (MT I) was better than MT II (Table 2). In general, application of biochar without potassium fertilizers produces similar growth when biochar is combined with potassium fertilizer. Although the plants were given biochar alone (B30K0), the process of assimilation to the formation of carbohydrates was still running. This was more evident when the treatment was compared with biochar treatment with various doses of KCI. The use of biochar dose of 30 t ha-1 can act as fertilizer that meets nutrient needs for crops that produce plant height, leaf area, plant dry weight in the first and second season (Table 2). Leaching during the two seasons and without the input of mineral fertilizers on the second season decreased plant growth. In the first growing season, plant height increased with the application of biochar and fertilizer potassium doses up to 100 kg ha-1 KCI but potassium fertilizer residues did not affect plant height. The use of potassium fertilizer with a higher dose (150 – 200 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>) did not increase the plant height.

Leaf area was measured as the size of the plant in the process of photosynthesis. It was identified that potassium fertilization with and without biochar could increase leaf area in the first and second growing season (Table 2). Increase in leaf area occurred in the best treatment without biochar fertilizer but with potassium and potassium fertilizer at the lowest dose (50 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>). Leaf area in the second growing season was 2 times as small as that of the first growing season. Leaching process without the addition of P and K fertilizers reduced leaf area in the second growing season; this led to the formation of photosynthate which reduced plant dry weight, indicated by the results of total plant dry weight (Table 2). Potassium with various doses was added to produce biochar plant dry weight (MT II), but it will decrease when the highest dose (MT I) was applied. Biochar affected the increase in total plant dry weight in MT II.

Widowati and Asnah : Biochar Effect on Pottasium Fertlizer .....

Treatment	Plant heig	Plant height (cm)		Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )		Plant dry weight (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	MTI	MTII	MTI	мт п	MTI	MTII	
Control	84.00 a	72.00 a	2483.37 a	1502.97 a	1.97 a	0.76 a	
B0K200	88.00 a	76.33 a	5301.66 c	2158.96 b	3.02 c	1.85 b	
B30K0	99.33 b	79.33 a	5792.14 d	2484.51 de	3.18 cd	1.99 bc	
B30K50	99.00 b	88.33 a	5952.86 d	2525.96 e	3.26 d	2.19 c	
B30K100	96.67 b	89.67 a	5149.67 c	2364.52 cde	3.12 cd	2.17 c	
B30K150	87.67 a	86.00 a	5075.17 c	2302.81 bcd	2.98 c	2.17 c	
B30K200	85.67 a	86.33 a	4614.40 b	2259.27 bc	2.63 b	2.19 c	
LSD 0.05	6.64	Tn	637.69	197.87	0.33	0.25	

Table 2. Plant height, leaf area, and total plant dry weight at 60 days after planting in Corn

#### **Potassium Uptake**

Potassium in biochar in soil solution can be so easily absorbed by plants (Table 3) and are also sensitive to leaching (Table 4). In the first growing season, application of biochar with the highest dose of potassium (200 kg KCl ha-1) would result in the lowest potassium levels and uptake after control. Potassium uptake in the first season crops is greater than the second. Leached condition has reduced potassium uptake in plants especially the second season without addition of fertilizer potassium. Biochar application without fertilizer with potassium and potassium fertilizer low dose (50 kg KCI ha-1) resulted in high potassium uptake, but increasing doses of potassium decreased potassium uptake (Table 3). The application of biochar and potassium of various doses leached residue, which would give the same effect to the uptake of potassium in the next planting season.

Table 3. Potassium Uptake at 60 Days after planting

Treatment	Potassium uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	MTI	MT II	
Control	8.83 a	6.07 a	
B0K200	28.39 d	17.84 b	
B30K0	33.11 e	19.74 b	
B30K50	35.09 e	23.36 b	
B30K100	26.53 d	22.37 b	
B30K150	23.06 c	22.68 b	
B30K200	13.66 b	23.67 b	
LSD 0.05	4.52	7.55	

Widowati and Asnah (2014) confirmed that the sole application of biochar increased maize production (6.24 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by 14% compared to sole application of KCI fertilizer (5.45 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, dual application of biochar and 75% lower dosage of KCI fertilizer application increased maize production by 29%.

#### Leached Potassium

Biochar organic waste produced by pyrolysis (Widowati *et al.*, 2011) contains potassium (Table 1). It is recorded that potassium in biochar can be dissolved and leached. Lehmann *et al* (2003) found that K in the leachate increased after the addition of biochar to soil, giving high K content of biochar itself.

Table 4. Pottasium levels leached at 60 days after planting

Treatment	Potassium	leached (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )
	MTI	MT II
Control	10.62 a	5.63 a
B0K200	33.59 a	6.66 a
B30K0	46.19 a	7.78 a
B30K50	336.60 b	15.84 b
B30K100	439.29 c	19.65 b
B30K150	442.65 c	21.87 b
B30K200	448.57 c	23.02 b
LSD 0.05	41.24	6.30

Potassium is leached in the first growing season was higher than that of the second growing season (Table 4). This occurred because of the potassium fertilizer given in the first growing season. The content of potassium leached from the soil given the biochar 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was equal to the fertilization of 200 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second season. The combination of biochar and potassium fertilizer of various doses would increase the leaching of potassium fertilizer than given singly (Table 4). This was because of the potassium in the soil which came from the initial soil, biochar and fertilizer K. Up to 60 days, more doses of K fertilizer were added without potassium (Table 4).




Figure 1. The relationship between Leaching and K Uptake on Growth of Maize MT I




Figure 2. The relationship between levels of K in the soil and leaching K on MT I

Furthermore, 50-200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> KCI potassium with potassium leaching produced biochar with no difference, ranging from 16 to 23 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in MT II. Meanwhile, 100-200 kg potassium ha<sup>-1</sup> KCI resulted in the leaching of potassium ranging from 439 to 449 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in MT I. This proves that biochar can reduce nutrient loss of potassium. Widowati *et al.* (2010) said that biochar increased the amount of N, P, and K higher than organic fertilizers. Similarly, at the age of 30-60 days, increasing doses of potassium fertilizer with biochar do not increase the leaching of potassium in MT I (Widowati *et al.*,

2012). Biochar has higher stability against decomposition, and it is capable of absorbing ions compared with other organic materials, due to the larger surface area, negative surface, and density (Liang *et al.*, 2006; Lehmann, 2007). In the first growing season, there was a close relationship between leaching and K availability in the soil  $R^2 = 0.94$ . The higher the leaching, the lower the K availability in the soil would be (Figure 2), so that the lower the potassium was absorbed by plants (Figure 1). The more potassium leached decreases the total K content in the soil ( $R^2 = 0.49$ ).

Widowati and Asnah :Biochar Effect on Pottasium Fertlizer .....

Treatment	Nutrient levels in the soil after leaching Potassium			
	Mg <sup>2+</sup> (Me 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	Ca²+ (Me 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	K+ (Me 100 g⁻¹)	K Total (Mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Control	1.46 b	18.44 a	0.07 a	444.80 a
B0K200	2.45 c	16.09 a	0.48 cd	156.88 a
B30K0	1.62 b	24.34 b	1.35 f	1669.38 c
B30K50	3.23 d	25.55 bc	0.85 e	1673.24 c
B30K100	2.32 c	24.50 b	0.57 d	1279.53 b
B30K150	0.45 a	25.63 bc	0.34 b	1320.74 b
B30K200	0.42 a	24.41 b	0.43 bc	1560.59 bc
LSD 0.05	0.85	4.67	0.16	463.97

Table 5. Nutrient levels in the soil after leaching MT I

#### Levels of Nutrients in The Soil after Leaching

After the leaching process, the total K content obtained was lower in the treated soil without biochar. The use of biochar without KCI and KCI fertilizer 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in high total soil K levels. Further increase in the dose of KCI did not increase the levels of K and Ca in the soil (Table 5). KCl biochar treatment without leaving the highest levels of K<sup>+</sup> leached after condition. After a period of leaching of K, higher level of Ca was obtained compared with Mg and K in the soil. The application of biochar caused the levels of Ca in the soil to increase rather than without biochar. High divalent ions could easily replace ions of lower valency. So Ca2+ could easily replace K<sup>+</sup>, but not vice versa. Cation exchange process was influenced by the valence of the cation, cation size, the nature of the mineral cations and cation concentration in solution.

When Ca2+ was replaced with K+ other requirements, necessary namelv the concentration of K<sup>+</sup> in the solution must be higher than the concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. If both cations, accounting for the process of cation exchange, have the same valence, cations having a larger size have a greater ability to exchange cations (Tan, 2001). Similarly, the higher levels of Mg in the soil absorbed the higher potassium (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.41). Total K content decreased with KCI fertilization compared to control. However, application of biochar caused total K content to increase (Table 5). Biochar application with increasing KCI would reduce the level of available K in the soil with  $R^2 = 0.83$ . The availability of K content uptake increased K (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.54) and total K content in the soil ( $R^2 = 0.48$ ). Tan (2001) states that the amount of potassium that can be adsorbed by the soil depends on the level of saturation. Potassium adsorbed mostly found in equilibrium with the potassium in the soil solution is the main source for the plant. Therefore, the K fertilization level in the soil increased. In the leaching conditions, fertilization KCI with and without biochar could increase the level of K in the soil. Application of KCI of biochar could increase the total K content in the soil (Table 5). This could saturate the complex adsorption in order to reach equilibrium with K in the soil solution.

## CONSCLUSSION

Biochar containing potassium was soluble and can be leached. The amount of potassium leached from the soil given the biochar 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> did not differ by 200 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>. The amount of potassium leached, ranging from 439 to 449 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (MT I) and 16-23 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (MT II) at various doses of KCl with biochar had no difference. Biochar application without fertilizer with potassium and potassium fertilizer (50 kg KCl ha<sup>-1</sup>) resulted high potassium uptake while increasing doses of potassium would decrease the level of absorption.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are addressed to the Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Education and Culture for providing research funding competitive grants in 2012 and 2013.

## REFERENCES

Brady, N. C. and Weil, R. R. 2008. The Nature and Properties of Soils, 14th edition, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. Leachington, DC. Widowati and Asnah :Biochar Effect on Pottasium Fertlizer .....

- Ding, Y., Yu-Xue, L., Wei-Xiang, W., De-Zhi, S., Min, Y. and Zhe-Ke, Z. 2010. Evaluation of Biochar Effects on Nitrogen Retention and Leaching in Multi-Layered Soil Columns. Water Air Soil Pollut. http://dx.doi: 10.1007/s11270-010-0366-4.
- Dünisch, O., Lima, V. C., Seehann, G., Donath, J.,Montoia, V. R. and Schwarz, T. .2007. Retention properties of wood residues and their potential for soil amelioration. Wood Science and Technology., 41: 69–189.
- Lehmann, J., da Silva Jr., J.P., Steiner, C., Nehls T., Zech, W. and Glaser, B. 2003. Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: Fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. Plant and Soil. 249: 343–357.
- Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Kinyangi, D., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B., Skjemstad, J. O., Thies, J., Luizao, F. J. Peterson, J., & Neves, E. G. 2006. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil Science Society of America. 70: 1719–1730. http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2005.0383.

- Lehmann, J. 2007. Bio-energy in the black. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment., 5: 381–387.
- Mayor, J., M. Rondon, D. Molina, Susan, J. R. and J. Lehmann. 2010. Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol. Plant Soil. 333: 117–128. http://dx.doi 10.1007/s11104-010-0327-0.
- Mermoud, F., Salvador, S., de Steene, L. V. and Golfier, F. 2006. Influence of the pyrolysis heating rate on the steam gasification rate of large wood char particles. Fuel., 85: 1473–1482.
- Shinogi,Y. 2004. Nutrient leaching from carbon products of sludge. ASAE/CSAE Annual International Meeting, Paper number 044063. Ottawa. Ontario. Canada
- Tan, K.H. 2001. Soil Chemistry. UGM Press, Yogyakarta, 90p.